



Craig P. Miller

Partner | craig.miller@lathropgpm.com

MINNEAPOLIS

80 South Eighth Street
500 IDS Center
Minneapolis, MN 55402

T: 612.632.3258
F: 612.632.4258

Assistant
Joy Peterson Hubler
612.632.3045
Email

PRACTICE AREAS

Franchise & Distribution

Franchise Litigation & Dispute
Resolution

Franchise & Dealership
Terminations

Enforcing System Standards

Underreporting & Franchise
Audits

Vicarious Liability/Risk
Management

Litigation & Dispute Resolution

General Business & Complex
Commercial Litigation

Non-Compete, Non-Solicit,
Trade Secrets & Other
Restrictive Covenants

Trust & Estate Litigation

Shareholder Disputes

COURT MEMBERSHIPS

- Minnesota

Craig Miller practices primarily in the areas of franchise and distribution litigation and commercial litigation. As a litigator, Craig represents companies and individuals in trial, arbitration, and other civil proceedings throughout the United States. He has represented clients in a wide variety of matters, including franchise disputes, complex business fraud actions, securities fraud claims, trademark and trade dress infringement, noncompete enforcement, shareholder disputes, contract disputes, creditors' remedies, and unfair trade practices claims. Craig is experienced in guiding clients through the dispute resolution process, whether through litigation, arbitration, mediation, or negotiation.

Craig also practices extensively in probate court, helping to resolve disputes over the administration of trusts or estates, will contests, and matters of guardianship and conservatorship. Craig's familiarity with probate procedure ensures that his clients' interests are protected.

Craig channels his natural-born competitive nature, along with his persuasive skills and writing experience, to benefit his clients. Before entering law school, he worked as a volunteer recruiter and technical writer while continuing to train competitively as a long-distance runner. His love for all-things-competitive continues through playing golf, watching sports of all kinds, and keeping up with his energetic preschooler.

Representative Experience

Most recent experience includes:

- U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota
- U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit

EDUCATION

University of Wisconsin Law School, J.D., 1996

Gustavus Adolphus College, B.A., 1991

- Enforced post-termination noncompete and non-solicitation agreement, attorneys' fees provision, and personal guaranty against client's former franchisee.
- Obtained order for preliminary injunction in favor of client preventing defendants from infringing upon client's trademarks, violating noncompete provisions in franchise agreements, and violating post-termination obligations in franchise agreements
- Obtained dismissal of arbitration action commenced by 13 of the client's franchisees (including a Trust created by franchisees for litigation purposes) seeking, in part, a declaratory judgment that the noncompete provisions in their franchise agreements are unenforceable; dismissal of action was granted, in part, on the ground that the claims were improperly joined and that certain franchisees lacked standing to assert claims.
- Represented client in preliminary injunction action in federal court seeking to enforce terminated franchisee's post-termination obligations and noncompete agreement, and seeking to prevent franchisee from infringing upon client's trademarks.
- Successfully represented client in defense of action commenced by franchisee for encroachment, alleged violations of the Washington Franchise Investment Protection Act, the Washington Consumer Protection Act, breach of contract and the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and tortious interference with business expectancy.
- Obtained preliminary injunction order prohibiting terminated franchisee from infringing upon franchisor's trademarks and from violating post-termination obligations in franchise agreement; also obtained order holding defendants in contempt for violating court's preliminary injunction order.
- Obtained summary judgment on client's claim for past due fees and liquidated damages in the total amount of \$189,789.93; obtained summary judgment on defendant's counterclaims seeking damages for client's alleged breaches of franchise agreement; obtained award of attorneys' fees and costs in favor of client.

Additional experience:

- *Bonus of Am., Inc. v. Angel Falls Servs., L.L.C.*, U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67079 (D. Minn. 2010) (granting preliminary injunction and enforcing in-term noncompete agreement against franchisee and those who acted in concert with the franchisee to evade the noncompete agreement)

- *Bonus of Am., Inc. v. Angel Falls Servs., L.L.C.*, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 53598 (D. Minn. 2010) (granting TRO and enforcing in-term noncompete agreement against franchisee)
- *Pirtek USA, LLC v. Bobby Moore, et al.*
- *Pirtek USA, LLC v. Wilcox*, Bus. Franchise Guide (CCH) 13,511 (AAA 2006) (enforcement of franchisor's noncompete agreement and award of lost profits against former franchisee)
- *Wilcox et al. v. Pirtek USA, LLC*
- *Pirtek USA, LLC v. Zaetz*, 408 F. Supp. 2d 81 (D. Conn. 2005)
- *Pirtek USA, LLC v. Layer*, Bus. Franchise Guide (CCH) 13,357 (D. Fla. 2005) (enforcement of franchisor's noncompete agreement and other post-termination obligations against former franchisee)
- *Auto-Chlor System of Minnesota, Inc. v. JohnsonDiversey*, 328 F. Supp. 2d 980 (D. Minn. 2004)
- *Tatan Management v. Jacfran Corp.*, 270 F. Supp. 2d 197 (D.P.R. 2003) (order holding that franchisor was justified in terminating franchisee's franchise rights)
- *RE/MAX North Central, Inc. v. Cook*, 64 Fed.Appx. 562 (7th Cir. 2003) (affirming franchisor's award of damages and attorneys' fees on trademark infringement claim)
- *RE/MAX North Central, Inc. v. Cook*, 272 F.3d 424 (7th Cir. 2003) (affirming courts order granting of preliminary injunction on franchisor's trademark infringement claim)

Events

- Insurance Recovery 101 for Franchisors: Maximizing Your Coverage (Including COVID-19 Related Losses)
April 9, 2020

Presentations

- "Franchising - Litigating the Definitional Elements," 41st Annual ABA Forum on Franchising, Nashville, Tennessee, October 2018
- "Money Doesn't Grow On Trees: Effective Strategies for Collecting Amounts Due - Domestically and Internationally," 44th Annual IFA Legal Symposium, Washington, DC, May 1, 2011

Publications

- "Federal Court Finds Sufficient Evidence of Joint-Employer Relationship to Survive Motion to Dismiss," Lexology, September 25, 2017

- "The Enforceability And Applicability Of A Statute Of Limitations In Arbitration," Franchise Law Journal, August 14, 2012
- "Franchise Desk Book," American Bar Association Forum on Franchising, W. Michael Garner ed, 2nd ed 2008, January 2008
- "Domestic Franchise Agreements: Regulatory Compliance, Drafting Considerations And Dispute Resolution," Business Law Institute, May 2007

Client Alerts And Blog Posts

- Connecticut State Court Dismisses Claims Against Hotel Franchisor for Personal Injury at Franchisee's Hotel
May 5, 2022
- Missouri Federal Court Finds Remaining Factual Disputes Prevent Summary Judgment on Termination Claims
April 7, 2022
- Maine Federal Court Awards Attorneys' Fees and Costs Incurred in Arbitration
January 13, 2022

In The News

- GPM Clients Gain Asylum After 5-year Fight
December 14, 2015

News Releases

- Lathrop GPM Attorneys Recognized in Who's Who Legal Franchise 2020 List
September 30, 2020
- Gray Plant Mooty Attorneys Recognized in the International Who's Who Legal: Franchise for 2019
December 10, 2019
- Gray Plant Mooty Attorneys Named 2019 Minnesota Super Lawyers and Rising Stars
July 5, 2019
- Attorneys Recognized for Pro Bono Service
June 14, 2019
- 15 Gray Plant Mooty Attorneys Recognized in the International Who's Who Legal: Franchise for 2018
October 29, 2018
- Attorneys Recognized for Pro Bono Service
July 16, 2018

- Gray Plant Mooty Attorneys Named 2018 Minnesota Super Lawyers and Rising Stars
July 5, 2018
- 17 Gray Plant Mooty Attorneys Recognized in the International Who's Who Legal: Franchise for 2017
October 2, 2017
- 43 Gray Plant Mooty Lawyers Named 2017 Minnesota Super Lawyers and Rising Stars
July 5, 2017
- 47 Gray Plant Mooty Attorneys Recognized as 2016 North Star Lawyers
May 18, 2017
- 40 Gray Plant Mooty Lawyers Named 2016 Minnesota Super Lawyers and Rising Stars
July 5, 2016
- 34 Gray Plant Mooty Attorneys Recognized as North Star Lawyers
May 5, 2016
- 38 Gray Plant Mooty Lawyers Named 2015 Minnesota Super Lawyers and Rising Stars
July 09, 2015
- 40 Gray Plant Mooty Lawyers Named 2014 Minnesota "Super Lawyers" and "Rising Stars"
July 7, 2014

Professional Affiliations

- International Franchise Association
- Federal Bar Association
- American Bar Association, Forum Committee on Franchising
- Minnesota State Bar Association
- Hennepin County Bar Association, Diversity Committee

Community Involvement

- Children's Council at Children's Minnesota, 2016-2020

Honors

- "Minnesota Super Lawyer," Thomson Reuters, 2014-2019

- "North Star Lawyers," Minnesota State Bar Association, 2012, 2015-2018
- "Rising Star," *Minnesota Law & Politics*, 2002, 2005-2006
- *The International Who's Who of Franchise Lawyers*, 2017-2020